1	2	3	4
Chhattisgarh	2	5	7
Delhi	60	41	29
Goa	2	2	2
Gujarat	43	50	19
Haryana	7	15	12
Himachal Pradesh	1	0	2
Jammu & Kashmir	2	2	3
Jharkhand	3	4	5
Karnataka	23	35	34
Kerala	20	27	25
Madhya Pradesh	13	18	25
Meghalaya	1	0	0
Maharashtra	111	135	109
Orissa	8	5	8
Pondicheny	0	2	0
Punjab	16	23	20
Rajasthan	32	19	24
Tamil Nadu	104	56	38
Jttar Pradesh	29	12	2
Uttarakhand	0	0	0
Vest Bengal	23	19	21
TOTAL	578	532	454

Impact of Sixth Pay Commission's Report on States Finances

3765. SHRI RAJ KUMAR DHOOT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

whether it is a fact that Sixth Pay Commission has made its recommendation;

if so, whether Government has assessed its impact on State Governments;

if so, the details thereof, State-wise; and

what help will be rendered to states whose finances are not in a position to take on the additional burden on this account?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (d) The recommendations of the Sixth Central Pay Commission are under examination of the Government.

Repercussions of Sixth Pay Commission's Recommendations

3766. SHRI RAJKUMAR DHOOT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the recommendations of the Sixth Pay Commission will cost Government's exchequer a sum of Rs. 12,500 crore during the current financial year;

if so, whether necessary provision has been made in the budget for the year;

if not, the major schemes/works which will have to be shelved to meet the expenditure; and

its effect on inflation and rising prices?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL): (a) to (d) Although, on account of its recommendations, the Sixth Central Pay Commission's Report mentions an additional financial implication of Rs. 12,561 crore for the year in which they are implemented, the obligations and its effect will become clear only after Government has taken a decision on the recommendations. Thereafter, necessary provision can be made through the Supplementary Demand for Grants during the course of 2008-09. No major schemes/works would need to be shelved to meet this expenditure.

Mis-selling of Products by Mutual Funds

3767. SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

whether the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has been receiving complaints from the investors about mis-selling of products by mutual funds particularly, the derivative products;

if so, whether any measures have been taken to make the Mutual Funds develop and follow self-regulations in this regard;

if so, the details thereof and the outcome noticed so far, mutual fund-wise; and

if not, the reasons for not stopping the mis-selling of products which is rampant in the market?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL): (a) Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has not received any complaints from the investors about mis-selling of products by mutual funds particularly, the derivative products.

(b) to (d) SEBI guidelines require that mutual funds should monitor activities of their agents/distributors to ensure that they do not indulge in any kind of malpractice or unethical practice while selling/marketing mutual fund units. SEBI has made it mandatory for all personnel of mutual funds engaged in sales and marketing and their distributors to pass a certification exam, conducted by the Association of Mutual Funds in India (AMFI).

Credit advanced by banks to agriculture sector

3768. SHRI SYED AZEEZ PASHA:
SHRI D. RAJA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the statutory percentage of credit that the banks are supposed to give to the agriculture sector and the details of the credit disbursed by various public sector banks to the agriculture sector during the year 2007-08.